



AF/2621

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicant(s): Chai

Case: SAR 13151

Serial No.: 09/377,383 Filed: August 19, 1999

Group Art Unit: 2621

Examiner: Duy M. Dang

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD
FOR FORMING A CODING UNITASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX AF
Washington, D. C. 20231

S I R:

I believe that **no additional claim fee** is required for the accompanying amendment. My belief is based upon the following calculations:

	<u>Independent</u>	<u>Total claims</u>
Claims now pending	9	13
Less: Highest number of claims previously paid for	9	20
Fee due:	0 x \$80.00 + 0 x \$18.00 =	\$0.00
Fee for newly added multiple dependent claims		\$0.00
Reduction in claim fee due to small entity		<u>- \$0.00</u>
Total fee due		\$0.00

In the event I am mistaken and a fee is due, kindly charge that fee to deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

8/20/01
KIN-WAH TONG
Reg. No. 39,400
(732) 530-9404

Thomason, Moser & Patterson, LLP
Attorneys at Law
595 Shrewsbury Avenue - Suite 100
Shrewsbury, NJ 07701

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2001
Technology Center 2600

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2001
Technology Center 2600



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING under 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on Feb. 20, 2001 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box AF, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Linda DeNardis
Signature

Feb. 20, 2001
Date of signature

09/377,383



IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

#9
3-1-01
RECEIVED
FEB 27 2001
Technology Center 2600

Applicant: Chai

Case: SAR 13151

Serial No.: 09/377,383

Filed: August 19, 1999

Group Art Unit: 2621

Examiner: Duy M. Dang

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR FORMING A CODING UNIT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box AF
Washington, D.C. 20231

S I R:

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

The following response is submitted to address the Final Office Action, dated December 19, 2000 (Paper No. 6).

REMARKS

Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Duy Dang and Primary Examiner Jose Couso for kindly taking a substantial amount of time on February 20, 2001 to discuss the merits of the subject invention. Applicant's representative is aware of the time constraint that is placed on the Examiners and is appreciative of the Examiners' willingness to devote such large quantity of time to discuss the case on the merit.

In the Examiner Interview, the Primary Examiner acknowledged the differences between the cited reference and the Applicant's invention. However, no agreement was reached in the Examiner Interview.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-13 are pending in the application, and that claims 1-13 are rejected. In view of the following